In the Environment Court of New Zealand at Auckland

I mua i te Kōti Taiao o Aotearoa I te rohe o Tāmaki Makaurau

ENV-2020-AKL-000085

under:	the Resource Management Act 1991
in the matter of:	an appeal pursuant to clause 14(1) of the First Schedule to the Resource Management Act 1991
between:	Waipa District Council Appellant
and:	Waikato Regional Council Respondent

Notice of Mercury NZ Limited's wish to be party to proceeding

Dated: 28 September 2020

REFERENCE: Catherine Somerville-Frost (catherine.somerville-frost@chapmantripp.com) Alana Lampitt (alana.lampitt@chapmantripp.com)



NOTICE OF MERCURY NZ LIMITED'S WISH TO BE PARTY TO PROCEEDINGS

Section 274, Resource Management Act 1991

To The Registrar Environment Court Auckland

1 Mercury NZ Limited (*Mercury*) wishes to be a party to the appeal by Waipa District Council against a decision of the Waikato Regional Council on Proposed Plan Change 1 to the Waikato Regional Plan (*PC 1*).

Mercury's interest in these proceedings

- 2 Mercury made a submission and a further submission about the subject matter of the proceedings.
- 3 Mercury is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the Resource Management Act 1991 (*RMA*).
- 4 Mercury is interested in those issues that may affect its ability to operate, maintain, upgrade and develop its renewable electricity generation assets (in particular the Waikato Hydro System), including those parts of the proceedings related to Policies 12 and 13 of PC 1 as they relate to the management and consideration of residual effects of discharges.

Relief supported by Mercury

- 5 Mercury supports the relief sought in relation to Policies 12 and 13 because it:
 - 5.1 Promotes the sustainable management of natural and physical resources;
 - 5.2 Promotes the efficient use and development of natural and physical resources;
 - 5.3 Meets the reasonable foreseeable needs of future generations;
 - 5.4 Results in the most appropriate plan provisions in terms of section 32 of the RMA;
 - 5.5 Implements the Council's functions of section 30 of the RMA;
 - 5.6 Gives effect to higher order planning documents under section 67(3) of the RMA including the National Policy Statement for Renewable Electricity Generation 2011 and the Waikato Regional Policy Statement; and
 - 5.7 Ensures consistency with good resource management practice.
- 6 Without limiting the generality of the above, the specific reasons for Mercury's support of the relief sought include:
 - 6.1 The Notice of Appeal seeks to include a "<u>significance</u>" criteria on the nature of the residual adverse effects that would require the proposal of offsetting and compensation measures (Policy 12, PC 1). Without this qualification, any residual adverse effects, however minor, may require consideration of offsetting and compensation measures.

6.2 Many activities involving the movement and discharge of water have very low or de minimis adverse effects and do not involve discharges of contaminants contributing to overall contaminant load. It is inappropriate and inefficient for such activities to be required to propose offsetting or compensation measures in respect of minor or de minimis effects.

Mediation

7 Mercury agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.

Signed for and on behalf of Mercury NZ Limited by its solicitors and authorised agents Chapman Tripp

CALomeulle-fost

Catherine Somerville-Frost Partner 28 September 2020

Address for service of Mercury:

Mercury NZ Limited c/- Catherine Somerville-Frost / Alana Lampitt Chapman Tripp Level 34 15 Customs Street West PO Box 2206 Auckland 1140 Email address: catherine.somerville-frost@chapmantripp.com / alana.lampitt@chapmantripp.com

Advice If you have any questions about this noti

If you have any questions about this notice, contact the Environment Court in Auckland, Wellington, or Christchurch