

**BEFORE THE ENVIRONMENT COURT
I MUA I TE KOOTI TAIAO O AOTEAROA**

IN THE MATTER of the Resource Management Act 1991

AND of the direct referral of applications for resource consents for the necessary infrastructure and related activities associated with holding the America's Cup in Auckland

BETWEEN PANUKU DEVELOPMENT LIMITED

Applicant

ENV- 2018- AKL- 000078

AND AUCKLAND COUNCIL

Regulatory Authority

MINUTE OF THE ENVIRONMENT COURT re CROWN'S INFORMATION ON CERTAIN RISKS, 27 AUGUST 2018

[1] The Court acknowledges Ms Carruthers's email today responding to the Court's 14 August questions about management of risks in the vicinity of AC36 bases and adjoining buildings and public areas.

[2] The Court appreciates the suggestion made in the last paragraph of her communication. The Court suggests that there should be more emphasis in the conditions on the consent holder being required to liaise and co-operate with emergency authorities, in particular through establishment of a responsible identifiable person or entity as a point of contact or "nerve centre". The Crown is asked to work with the applicant, the council and other interested parties, to advance this aspect.

[3] A further issue arises. There seems to be a slight discordance between Conditions 174 to 176A on the one hand, and Conditions 183H to J, on the other. One is about "emergency evacuation", the other about "emergency management". The former omits the Sanford matters and focusses on the Stolthaven area, while the latter covers both, plus other risks. 174 to 176A were probably the outcome from Ms Polich's conference with Mr van de Munchoff. Having 2 management plans on somewhat similar



issues, might produce inconsistency and confusion. The Court suggests the 2 sets of conditions could be integrated.



LJ Newhook
Principal Environment Judge

