IN THE ENVIRONMENT COURT OF NEW ZEALAND I TE KOOTI TAIAO O AOTEAROA

ENV-2020-AKL-000091

IN THE MATTER of the Resource Management Act 1991

AND

IN THE MATTER of an appeal under clause 14 of Schedule 1

to the Act against the decision of the Waikato Regional Council on Proposed Plan Change 1 to the Waikato Regional Plan

BETWEEN HAMILTON CITY COUNCIL

Appellant

AND WAIKATO REGIONAL COUNCIL

Respondent

NOTICE OF TAUPO DISTRICT COUNCIL'S WISH TO BE A PARTY TO PROCEEDINGS

Dated 29 September 2020

LACHLAN MULDOWNEY

BARRISTER

P +64 7 834 4336 M +64 21 471 490

Office Panama Square, 14 Garden Place, Hamilton

Postal PO Box 9169, Waikato Mail Centre, Hamilton 3240

www.lachlanmuldowney.co.nz

Instructing Solicitor: Nigel McAdie - nmcadie@taupo.govt.nz

To: The Registrar
Environment Court
Auckland

- Taupo District Council (TDC) wishes to be a party to these proceedings, being ENV-2020-AKL-000091 Hamilton City Council v Waikato Regional Council (Appeal).
- 2. TDC made a submission about the subject matter of the Appeal, and as a local authority, has an interest in the Appeal that is greater than the interest that the general public has.
- 3. TDC is not a trade competitor for the purposes of sections 308C or 308CA of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA).
- 4. TDC is interested in those parts of the Appeal relating to:
 - a) Objective 1 Te Whainga 1 and Policy 17 Te Kaupapa Here 17 in relation to the application of these provisions to infrastructure wetlands; and
 - b) Policy 13 Te Kaupapa Here 13.
- 5. TDC is interested in the following particular issues:
 - a) Requirements to restore and protect wetlands;
 - b) Offset and compensation measures; and
 - c) Provision for reasonable mixing.

6. TDC supports the relief sought by the appellant for the following reasons:

Objective 1 and Policy 17

a) TDC agrees that wetlands constructed to treat contaminated stormwater or wastewater should not be expected to be places suitable for swimming or collecting food. TDC supports the exclusion of wetlands constructed as part of infrastructure treatment from these provisions. However, TDC's preference is to amend the definition of wetland rather than by amending Objective 1 and Policy 17.

Policy 13

- b) TDC agrees that Policy 13 should be amended to make it clear and certain that offset measures can be staged as well. TDC also agrees that PC1 provides no guidance or criteria for determining under what conditions a mixing zone would be acceptable. TDC supports the amendments proposed to Policy 113i. to provide clarity and certainty as to how the acceptability of a proposed mixing zone would be assessed.
- 7. TDC supports any consequential relief to the extent that it is consistent with the outcomes sought in its appeal.

8. TDC agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.

/m on y

L F Muldowney / S K Thomas

Counsel for Taupo District Council

Dated 29 September 2020

Address for service:

Taupo District Council

C/- Lachlan Muldowney Barrister

Panama Square, 14 Garden Place

PO Box 9169

Hamilton 3244

Attention: Lachlan Muldowney / Shaye Thomas

Telephone: (07) 834 4336

Email: <u>lachlan@muldowney.co.nz</u> / <u>shayethomas@muldowney.co.nz</u>

Advice

If you have any questions about this notice, contact the Environment Court in Auckland, Wellington or Christchurch.