## BEFORE THE ENVIRONMENT COURT AT AUCKLAND I MUA I TE KOOTI TAIAO O AOTEAROA TĀMAKI MAKAURAU

ENV-2020-AKL-000090

**IN THE MATTER** of the Resource Management Act 1991

**AND** 

IN THE MATTER of an appeal under clause 14(1) of Schedule 1 to the

Act

BETWEEN WAIKATO RIVER AUTHORITY

**Appellant** 

AND WAIKATO REGIONAL COUNCIL

Respondent

NOTICE OF BEEF+LAMB NEW ZEALAND LIMITED'S WISH TO BE A PARTY TO PROCEEDINGS
29 September 2020

FLETCHER VAUTIER MOORE LAWYERS PO BOX 3029 RICHMOND 7050 Telephone: (03) 543 8301 Facsimile: (03) 543 8302 Email: cthomsen@fvm.co.nz

cluisetti@fvm.co.nz

Solicitor: CP Thomsen/CH Luisetti

**TO:** The Registrar

**Environment Court** 

Auckland

1. Beef+Lamb New Zealand Ltd (B+LNZ) wishes to be a party to the following proceedings:

Waikato River Authority v Waikato Regional Council ENV-2020-AKL-000090

- 2. B+LNZ made a submission about the subject matter of the proceedings.
- 3. B+LNZ has an interest in the proceedings that is greater than the interest that the general public has because:
  - (a) The proceedings seek relief that will impact on the natural and physical resources of the region.
  - (b) B+LNZ is a representative body that promotes sustainable farming practices and develops and implements programmes aimed at improving farming systems.
  - (c) The Appeal may impact farmers who B+LNZ represent and who rely on natural and physical resources to provide for their health and well-being.
- 4. B+LNZ is not a trade competitor for the purposes of s 308C or 308A Resource Management Act 1991.
- 5. B+LNZ is interested in all of the proceedings.
- 6. B+LNZ is interested in the following particular issues:
  - (a) Any relief sought that is inconsistent with its appeal *Beef+Lamb New Zealand v Waikato Regional Council* ENV-2020-AKL-99.
  - (b) The effect any relief sought will have upon the objective and policy framework and supporting rules and schedules that provide for low intensity farming.
- 7. B+LNZ opposes in part the relief sought because:

1

- (a) B+LNZ supports the findings of the Respondent that the Decisions Version of PC1 provides for restoration and protection of the Waikato and Waipā Rivers and their tributaries, subject to the relief sought in its appeal.
- (b) B+LNZ opposes any relief that seeks to amend PC1 to the extent that it changes the way PC1 provides for low-intensity farming activities.
- (c) The stock exclusion provisions provide for an appropriate effects-based setback from all water bodies.
- (d) It is inappropriate and inefficient to seek to redefine *wetlands* to include wetlands that are not contemplated by the definition in the RMA.
- 8. To the extent any other relief sought does not impact on the decision of the Respondent in relation to the objective and policy framework and supporting rules and schedules that provide for low intensity farming B+LNZ is neutral on the relief sought.
- 9. B+LNZ agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.

**CP Thomsen** 

Counsel for s 274 party

Beef+Lamb New Zealand Ltd

In M

29 September 2020

This notice was filed by **CHRISTOPHER PAUL THOMSEN**, solicitor for the party of the firm Fletcher Vautier Moore. The address for service of the abovenamed party is at the offices of Fletcher Vautier Moore, Solicitors, 265A Queen Street, Richmond, Nelson.

Documents for service on the party may be:

- (a) Posted to the solicitor at Fletcher Vautier Moore, Solicitors, P O Box 3029, Richmond, Nelson; or
- (b) Left for the solicitor at a document exchange for direction to DX WC71017, Richmond, Nelson; or
- (c) Transmitted to the solicitor by facsimile to (03) 543 8302 provided original documents are then posted to the solicitor; or
- (d) Sent by email to <a href="mailto:cthomsen@fvm.co.nz">cthomsen@fvm.co.nz</a> and <a href="mailto:cluisetti@fvm.co.nz">cluisetti@fvm.co.nz</a> provided original documents are then posted to the solicitor.

## Advice

If you have any questions about this notice, contact the Environment Court in Auckland, Wellington, or Christchurch.