## IN THE ENVIRONMENT COURT OF NEW ZEALAND AUCKLAND REGISTRY

## I MUA I TE KŌTI TAIAO O AOTEAROA TĀMAKI MAKAURAU ROHE

ENV-2020-AKL-000085

**IN THE MATTER OF** the Resource Management Act 1991

AND

IN THE MATTER OF an appeal under clause 14(1) of Schedule 1 of

the Resource Management Act 1991

BETWEEN WAIPA DISTRICT COUNCIL

Appellant

AND WAIKATO REGIONAL COUNCIL

Respondent

NOTICE OF WAIKATO RIVER AUTHORITY UNDER SECTION 274 OF THE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991

**29 SEPTEMBER 2020** 

BUDDLE FINDLAY

Barrieters and Solicitors

Barristers and Solicitors Wellington

To: The Registrar

The Environment Court

Auckland

- Waikato River Authority ("WRA") wishes to be a party to the appeal proceedings lodged by Waipa District Council ("the Appellant") in respect of the decisions on Proposed Plan Change 1 ("PPC1") to the Waikato Regional Plan, which were publicly notified on 22 April 2020 ("the Decisions Version").
- 2. The WRA is the statutory body formed under the Waikato-Tainui Raupatu Claims (Waikato River) Settlement Act 2010, the Ngati Tuwharetoa, Raukawa, and Te Arawa River Iwi Waikato River Act 2010, with additional responsibilities arising under the Nga Wai o Maniapoto (Waipa River) Act 2012.
- 3. As part of its statutory function, WRA sets the primary direction, through the Vision and Strategy / Te Ture Whaimana o Te Awa o Waikato ("Te Ture Whaimana"), to achieve the restoration of the health and wellbeing of the Waikato River for future generations. In particular, a key function of WRA is to engage with, and provide advice to, local authorities on amending Resource Management Act 1991 ("RMA") planning documents to give effect to Te Ture Whaimana.<sup>1</sup> Accordingly, WRA has an interest in the proceedings that is greater than the interest that the general public has.
- 4. WRA also made submissions about the subject matter of the proceedings.<sup>2</sup> WRA's submissions generally supported the notified provisions in PPC1, or sought strengthening of provisions, that ensured water quality targets would be met within an 80-year timeframe and that gave effect to the objectives of Te Ture Whaimana.
- WRA is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C and 308CA of the RMA.

BF\60529206\1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Waikato-Tainui Raupatu Claims (Waikato River) Settlement Act 2010, s23(2)(a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> WRA made a submission on PPC1 on 2 March 2017 and a submission on Variation 1 to PPC1 on 21 May 2018. WRA made a further submission on 17 September 2018. WRA presented evidence to the Independent Hearing Commissioners on a range of matters raised in its submission(s) on 13 March 2019.

- 6. WRA has filed its own appeal that identifies provisions in the Decisions Version that do not give effect to Te Ture Whaimana, but otherwise is supportive of the Decisions Version as notified.<sup>3</sup>
- 7. WRA is interested in all of the proceedings, in particular:
  - (a) proposed amendments to Objective 3;
  - (b) proposed amendments to Policies 12, 13 and 19;
  - (c) proposed amendments to Method 3.11.3.3; and
  - (d) proposed amendments to Table 3.11-1 (and associated amendments that relate to the short-term reduction target).
- 8. In respect of the relief sought by the Appellant, WRA:
  - (a) supports the relief sought by the Appellant in respect of Objective 3 to the extent that it is consistent with the RMA but not if the intent of this amendment is to prioritise one community need over another;
  - (b) supports the relief sought by the Appellant in respect of the explanatory note and footnotes to Table 3.11-1 to the extent that these provide more clarity;
  - (c) opposes the relief sought by the Appellant in respect of Table 3.11-1 (and other associated changes) that reduces the short-term water quality targets from 20% to 10% from current contaminant loads, on the basis that a 20% reduction over the first 10 years from when PPC1 is made operative, as provided for in the Decisions Version, is appropriate as this:
    - (i) reflects the delay of PPC1 becoming operative and any substantive progress getting underway; and
    - (ii) provides more certainty that the 80-year timeframe to meet water quality targets is achieved and gives effect to Te Ture Whaimana;
  - (d) opposes the relief sought by the Appellant in respect of Policy 12 on the basis that the amendments sought:

BF\60529206\1

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> ENV-2020-AKL-000090. To avoid doubt, where WRA opposes aspects of the Appellant's appeal on the basis that the Decisions Version gives effect to Te Ture Whaimana, that is subject to any challenges to the relevant provision that WRA has raised in its own appeal.

- (i) mean any residual effects that are less than "significant" will not require offsetting or compensation and allows "staging" of offsetting or compensation for activities with significant adverse effects over the duration of a consent; and
- (ii) would weaken this policy which, as set out in the Decisions
   Version, ensures that any residual effects are offset or
   compensated, short-term water quality targets can be achieved
   and gives effect to Te Ture Whaimana;
- (e) opposes the relief sought by the Appellant to amend Policy 13 on the basis that the amendments sought would weaken this policy which, as set out in the Decisions Version, provides that reasonable mixing may be appropriate as a "transitional measure" reflecting that high levels of treatment for point source discharges will be required to achieve the 80 year water quality targets and gives effect to Te Ture Whaimana;
- (f) opposes the relief sought by the Appellant to delete Policy 19, on the basis that this policy, as set out in the Decisions Version, provides for resource consent applicants to seek opportunities to advance achievement of the objectives in Te Ture Whaimana; and
- (g) opposes the relief sought by the Appellant in relation to Method 3.11.3.3 on the basis that monitoring sites should be consistent across all point source discharges; the purpose being to collect data for monitoring and assessing progress towards achieving the water quality targets provided for in Table 3.11-1.

## 9. In addition, WRA:

- supports any other similar or consequential relief arising from this appeal that gives effect to Te Ture Whaimana and the relief sought in WRA's notice of appeal; and
- (b) opposes any other similar or consequential relief arising from this appeal that does not give effect to Te Ture Whaimana and the relief sought in WRA's notice of appeal.

BF\60529206\1 Page 4

 WRA agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.

**WRA** by its solicitors and authorised agents Buddle Findlay:



**Signature**: P T Beverley / C E Bulow

Date: 29 September 2020

Address for Service: Buddle Findlay

Level 17, Aon Centre

1 Willis Street Wellington 6011

Service may also be effected by:

(a) posting it to the solicitor at PO Box2694, Wellington; or

(b) leaving it for the solicitor at a document exchange for direction to DX SP20201, Wellington; or

(c) emailing it to the solicitor at paul.beverley@buddlefindlay.com.

**Telephone**: (04) 499 4242

**TO**: The Registrar of the Environment Court at Auckland

**AND TO**: The Appellant

AND TO: Waikato Regional Council

BF\60529206\1 Page 5