## IN THE ENVIRONMENT COURT OF NEW ZEALAND AUCKLAND REGISTRY

## I MUA I TE KŌTI TAIAO O AOTEAROA TĀMAKI MAKAURAU ROHE

ENV-2020-AKL-000102

IN THE MATTER OF the Resource Management Act 1991

AND

IN THE MATTER OF an appeal under clause 14(1) of Schedule 1 of

the Resource Management Act 1991

BETWEEN FEDERATED FARMERS OF NEW ZEALAND

INC

Appellant

AND WAIKATO REGIONAL COUNCIL

Respondent

NOTICE OF WAIKATO RIVER AUTHORITY UNDER SECTION 274 OF THE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991

**29 SEPTEMBER 2020** 

Barristers and Solicitors Wellington

To: The Registrar

The Environment Court

Auckland

- Waikato River Authority ("WRA") wishes to be a party to the appeal proceedings lodged by Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc ("the Appellant") in respect of the decisions on Proposed Plan Change 1 ("PPC1") to the Waikato Regional Plan, which were publicly notified on 22 April 2020 ("the Decisions Version").
- 2. The WRA is the statutory body formed under the Waikato-Tainui Raupatu Claims (Waikato River) Settlement Act 2010, the Ngati Tuwharetoa, Raukawa, and Te Arawa River Iwi Waikato River Act 2010, and with additional responsibilities arising under the Nga Wai o Maniapoto (Waipa River) Act 2012.
- 3. As part of its statutory function, WRA sets the primary direction, through the Vision and Strategy / Te Ture Whaimana o Te Awa o Waikato ("**Te Ture Whaimana**"), to achieve the restoration of the health and wellbeing of the Waikato River for future generations. In particular, a key function of WRA is to engage with, and provide advice to, local authorities on amending Resource Management Act 1991 ("**RMA**") planning documents to give effect to Te Ture Whaimana.<sup>1</sup> Accordingly, WRA has an interest in the proceedings that is greater than the interest that the general public has.
- 4. WRA also made a submission about the subject matter of the proceedings.<sup>2</sup> WRA's submissions generally supported the notified provisions in PPC1, or sought strengthening of provisions, that ensured water quality targets would be met within an 80-year timeframe and that gave effect to Te Ture Whaimana.
- WRA is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C and 308CA of the RMA.

BF\60563034\1 Page 2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Waikato-Tainui Raupatu Claims (Waikato River) Settlement Act 2010, s23(2)(a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> WRA made a submission on PPC1 on 2 March 2017 and a submission on Variation 1 to PPC1 on 21 May 2018. WRA made a further submission on 17 September 2018. WRA presented evidence to the Independent Hearing Commissioners on a range of matters raised in its submission(s) on 13 March 2019.

- 6. WRA has filed its own appeal that identifies provisions in the Decisions Version that do not give effect to Te Ture Whaimana, but otherwise is supportive of the Decisions Version as notified.<sup>3</sup>
- 7. WRA is interested in all of the proceedings. The Appellant has appealed the Decisions Version in its entirety, in respect of all of the objectives, policies, methods, rules, definitions and schedules.
- 8. WRA opposes the relief sought by the Appellant on the basis that:
  - (a) WRA is supportive of the Decisions Version as notified; and
  - (b) the amendments sought do not give effect to Te Ture Whaimana.
- 9. WRA's concerns with the relief sought by the Appellant include that (but are not limited to):
  - (a) the relief sought significantly changes the intent of PPC1, particularly through amendments to Objective 1 (and consequential amendments to other provisions) which require the rivers to be safe for people to swim and take food from at particular places and at particular times of the year;
  - (b) the relief sought reduces the short-term water quality targets from a 20% reduction to a 10% contaminant reduction; and
  - (c) the relief sought will change the long-term water quality targets, as set out in the Decisions Version, and these will no longer be achieved through the provisions of PPC1.
- 10. In addition, WRA opposes any other similar or consequential relief arising from this appeal that does not give effect to Te Ture Whaimana and the relief sought in WRA's notice of appeal.

BF\60563034\1 Page 3

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> ENV-2020-AKL-000090. To avoid doubt, where WRA opposes aspects of the Appellant's appeal on the basis that the Decisions Version gives effect to Te Ture Whaimana, that is subject to any challenges to the relevant provision that WRA has raised in its own appeal.

11. WRA agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.

**WRA** by its solicitors and authorised agents Buddle Findlay:



Signature: P T Beverley / C E Bulow

Date: 29 September 2020

Address for Service: Buddle Findlay

Level 17, Aon Centre

1 Willis Street Wellington 6011

Service may also be effected by:

(a) posting it to the solicitor at PO Box2694, Wellington; or

(b) leaving it for the solicitor at a document exchange for direction to DX SP20201, Wellington; or

(c) emailing it to the solicitor at paul.beverley@buddlefindlay.com.

**Telephone**: (04) 499 4242

**TO**: The Registrar of the Environment Court at Auckland

**AND TO**: The Appellant

AND TO: Waikato Regional Council

BF\60563034\1 Page 4